

212/574

Remarks

Claims 5-18 remain pending in the application. Claims 1-4 have been canceled. New claims 16-18 have been added.

Double Patenting

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obvious-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of Bitton, Wire frame mounting structure ornaments within a container, U.S. Patent 6,719,171 (Apr. 13, 2004). Claims 1 and 4 have been canceled. A terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) has been filed with this response to overcome this rejection. Therefore, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Sogaro, Dosing dispenser for flowable media, U.S. Patent 6,405,905 (June 18, 2002). Claims 1-4 have been canceled. This rejection is now moot. Therefore, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 5 is amended to include the limitations of claim 1. Claims 6-11 depend from claim 5. Claims 12 and 13 depend from claim 11. Claim 14 depends from claim 13. Claim 15 depends from claim 14. New claims 16-18 depend from allowable rewritten claim 5. Since claim 5 has been rewritten to include the allowable subject matter and claims 6-18 depend from claim 5,

212/574


claims 5-18 are believed to be in condition of allowance and this objection is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

This response has addressed all of the Examiner's grounds for rejection. The rejections based on prior art have been traversed. Reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of the claims is requested.

Date: December 23, 2004

By:

  
Marc J. Frechette, Esq.  
Reg. No. 49060